

Exhibit 1

1 A. I believe so.

2 Q. Okay. Thank you for that.

3 If you could please turn back to
4 Exhibit 1, the '472 patent. Exhibit 1, the '472
5 patent, relates to your signal abstracting
6 inventions, correct?

7 MR. GARTEISER: Objection, form.

8 A. Yes.

9 BY MR. RAMSEY:

10 Q. In fact, your signal abstracting system
11 is an invention that you claim in the claims of the
12 '472 patent, right?

13 MR. GARTEISER: Objection, form.

14 A. Yes.

15 BY MR. RAMSEY:

16 Q. Okay. When we were talking a while ago,
17 you explained to me that one difference between your
18 signal abstracting product or technology and
19 fingerprinting technology is the ability to
20 differentiate between different versions of the same
21 data object. Do you recall that?

22 MR. GARTEISER: Objection, form.

23 A. I do.

24 BY MR. RAMSEY:

25 Q. Okay. And you explained that that

1 ability to differentiate between different versions
2 of the same data object is described in your patent;
3 isn't that right?

4 MR. GARTEISER: Objection, form.

5 A. I'm not sure what you're trying to ask,
6 actually.

7 BY MR. RAMSEY:

8 Q. All right. I just want to know that
9 it's your position that the ability to differentiate
10 between different versions of the same data object
11 is a feature of your signal abstracting that is
12 described in the specification of your asserted
13 patents, correct?

14 MR. GARTEISER: Objection, form.

15 A. I believe so.

16 BY MR. RAMSEY:

17 Q. Also just a quick -- quick question.
18 It's your understanding, correct, that the
19 specification between the four patents in Exhibits
20 1, 2, 3, and 4 are all the same, right?

21 MR. GARTEISER: Objection, form.

22 A. They're not exactly the same.

23 BY MR. RAMSEY:

24 Q. What are the differences that you know
25 of between the specifications of Exhibits 1, 2, 3,